



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,331	01/04/2005	Eduard Ferdinand Stikvoort	NL02 0622 US	5112
65913	7590	07/21/2009	EXAMINER	
NXP, B.V.			SINGH, HIRDEPAL	
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & LICENSING			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
M/S41-SJ			2611	
1109 MCKAY DRIVE			NOTIFICATION DATE	
SAN JOSE, CA 95131			07/21/2009 DELIVERY MODE	
			ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/520,331	Applicant(s) STIKVOORT ET AL.
	Examiner HIRDEPAL SINGH	Art Unit 2611

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 March 2009.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 2-5 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 March 2009 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-166/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed on March 10, 2009. Claims 1-5 are pending and have been considered below.

Response to Arguments

2. The amendment correctly labeled the drawing figure blocks. Therefore, the objection to the drawings is withdrawn.
3. Informalities in the claims are corrected by the amendment. Therefore, the objection to the claims is withdrawn.
4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hajimiri et al. (US 2002/0173337) in view of Cheung (US 6,476,685) and Chappell (US 2002/0141494) further in view Cullum et al. (US 6,058,258).

Regarding claim 1:

Hajimiri discloses tuning arrangement for receiving a plurality of signal channels and for tuning (paragraph 0066) to a specific of said plurality of signal channels, the arrangement comprising:

a polyphase mixer (432 in figure 8; paragraph 0070) for mixing said specific signal channel to an intermediate frequency (paragraphs 0016 and 0073) which is lower than twice the bandwidth of the channel;

a polyphase IF filter (460 in figure 8) for rejecting the negative frequencies in the mixer output signal (paragraphs 0009, 0011 and 0073).

Hajimiri discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for specifically teaching; (1) an intermediate frequency which is lower than twice the bandwidth of the channel; and (2) a polyphase group delay equalizer connected to the output of the polyphase IF filter where the transfer function of the group delay equalizer has, for the frequency range of interest, one or more pole-zero pairs alongside of only the positive imaginary axis of the complex frequency plane with the pole(s) and the zero(s) of said one or more pairs lying substantially symmetrically with respect to said positive imaginary axis, and (3) one or more pole zero pairs alongside of only the positive imaginary axis are shifted along the positive imaginary axis off of the real axis of the complex frequency plane.

However, regarding item (1) above, Chappell in same field of endeavor discloses a system and method for determining frequency response in cable TV systems where mixer is mixing said specific signal channel to an intermediate frequency which is lower than twice the bandwidth of the channel (paragraph 0045; figure 3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time of invention to use a tuning arrangement for selecting a specific channel by mixing the local oscillator frequency to the signal channel where the oscillator frequency is lower than twice the channel bandwidth in order to make the baseband signal frequency less than or equal to the center frequency of the preceding filter circuitry to make the response of the system desirable by getting the signal frequency lying between the cutoff frequencies of the filter circuits.

Regarding item (2) above, Cheung in same field of endeavor discloses using a group delay equalizer (abstract) and the transfer function of the equalizer has, for the frequency range of interest, one or more pole-zero pairs alongside of only the positive imaginary axis of the complex frequency plane (figure 4a) with the pole(s) and the zero(s) of said one or more pairs lying substantially symmetrically with respect to said positive imaginary axis (figure 4b).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time of invention to implement a group delay equalizer as taught by Cheung in the channel selection or tuning system of Hajimiri in order to keep the delay at a lower and invariable amount as the transfer function with poles and zeros symmetrical to the positive imaginary axis has the advantage that this arrangement compensates for the delay introduced by the filtering components as they introduce more delay at low frequencies than at high frequencies, the delay equalizer compensate for that by having more delay to high frequencies than lower frequencies.

Regarding item (3) above, Cullum in same field of endeavor discloses system and method for analyzing stability of system models where one or more pole zero pairs alongside of only the positive imaginary axis are shifted along the positive imaginary axis off of the real axis of the complex frequency plane (column 10, lines 27-35).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time of invention to implement teachings of Cullum in the channel selection or tuning system of Hajimiri in order to analyze the characteristics and behavior of the system and have the required implementation with the analyzation for improved performance of the system components and the overall system.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 2-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record discloses a system and method for tuning signal channels with poly phase mixer to downconvert frequency to intermediate frequency as desired and further using group delay equalizer after the filter, with a transfer function of the equalizer with pole and zero in upper half of the complex plane, but prior art fails to disclose or teach that the system with group delay equalizer that has pole and zero symmetrical to positive imaginary axis or in upper half of complex plane, also has in

phase and quadrature parts with balanced operational amplifiers, conductance and capacitances as claimed connected between the specified nodes for constituting the pole and the capacitances for constituting zero and for the shifting purpose for the cascade of polyphase group delay equalizers.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HIRDEPAL SINGH whose telephone number is (571) 270-1688. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (Alternate Friday Off) 8:30AM-6:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shuwang Liu can be reached on 571-272-3036. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/H. S./
Examiner, Art Unit 2611
/Shuwang Liu/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611